

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,996	02/28/2002	Dale R. Langner	1528.027USI	6341	
21186 75	590 03/10/2004	EXAMINER TRIEU, VAN THANH			
SCHWEGMA	N, LUNDBERG, WOE				
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Will Will in Ob.	.,		2636		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	1/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	7
Office Action Summary		10/086,99	6	LANGNER ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Van T Trie		2632	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	'S
THE - External after or after	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput to reply its specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no eve bly within the statu will apply and will e. cause the appli	int, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) day. I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from loation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	February 20	<u>104</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is	non-final.		
3) <mark>□</mark> Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims				erits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from cor	nsideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	equirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)	objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ ap	oproved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Off	fice action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have beei	n received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have beer	n received in Applicati	on No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		je
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional app	olication).
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •			
Attachmer					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15	

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 16,17 and 19-27 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **May** [US 6,614,419] in view of **Steiner et al** [US 5,528,248].

Regarding claim 1, the claimed display (avionic multifunction display 10 having a display screen 22 and a plurality of display screen regions that are activated by bezel soft key 42-50 for displaying of graphics and text data, see Figs. 1, 2 and 5-8, col. 2, lines 52-61, col. 3, lines 44-56, col. 6, lines 48-63, col. 8, lines 66, 67, col. 9, line 1, col. 11, lines 43-67, col. 16, lines 7-67 and col. 17, lines 1-67); and the label display region (the plurality

Art Unit: 2636

of label display regions 52-60 or 82-90 are adjacent to one of the soft key 42-50, see Figs. 1A and 1B); and the plurality of views are selectable within one or more of the numbers of displayable regions (the multifunction avionics display 10' provides multiple functions in various associated operation modes, which are controlled by selectable switches 42-50 or 42'-50' to display on the different regions, see Figs. 4-8, col. 12, lines 50-67, col. 13, lines 1-13, col. 16, lines 7-67 and col. 17, lines 1-67); but May fails to disclose each label associated with one or the soft keys can be modified or configured within the label display region for a particular one of the soft keys. However, May teaches that a SUL display legend labels 52-60 is associated with a respective one of each soft keys 42-50. Each of the labels 52-60 provides a legend indicating the function of the associated control soft key relative to the current selected operation mode of the multifunctional display 10. Alternatively, when activated exclusive of the SUL labels, the on-screen soft labels provide legend indicating the function of the associated control soft keys relative to another different operation mode of the multifunctional display 10, see Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 44-60 and col. 6, lines 48-63. Steiner et al suggests that a personal digital location assistant PDA 30 can be use to airplane navigation applications to indicate latitude and longitude, the route lines 176 and 166 show a current, desired flight path and a next desired flight path, respectively for the aircraft, the waypoint 178 and airport 174. The PDA 30 includes touch-screen/soft keys and press/button keys. An advantage of the touch-screen is that a label or a corresponding function of the touch-screen may be changed/modified by request from the user any number of times without changing electrical or mechanical hardware, see Figs. 1-3, col. 9, lines 62-67

Art Unit: 2636

and col. 10, lines 1-30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the touch-screen/soft keys for changing or modifying label of **Steiner et al** for the soft keys of **May** since the on-screen soft labels provide different operation mode of the multifunctional display. Furthermore, **May** suggests that the advantages of the soft labeling can provide the variable functionality needed for introducing upgrades and additional features or functions into a device, see col. 1, lines 52-54 and col. 2, lines 11-17.

Regarding claim 2, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 1 above, wherein the multifunction display 10 or 10' allows one data information overlaid the other data regions, see col. 17, lines 49-52.

Regarding claim 3, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 2 above, and including one or more of the dynamic images including weather condition data, StormScope information, topography, navigation and communication, see col. 16, lines 7-67 and col. 17, lines 1-67.

Regarding claim 4, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 1 above, see Figs. 1-8.

Regarding claim 6, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 1 above, see Figs. 1-8.

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 7, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 1 above, wherein the display screen 22 for displaying text message data, see Figs. 5-8.

Regarding claim 16, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claims 1 and 2 above.

Regarding claim 17, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claims 3 and 16 above.

Regarding claim 19, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 16 above, see Figs. 4-8.

Regarding claim 20, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 16 above.

Regarding claim 21, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claims 7 and 16 above.

Regarding claim 22, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claims 1 and 2 above.

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 23, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claims 3 and 22 above.

Regarding claim 24, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 22 above.

Regarding claim 25, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 22 above, see Figs. 4-8.

Regarding claim 26, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 22 above, see Figs. 4-8.

Regarding claim 27, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** in respect to claim 22 above, see Figs. 4-8.

2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **May** and **Steiner et al** and further in view of **Walker** [US 6,279,017].

Regarding claim 5, **May** fails to disclose the displayable regions are pop-up windows.

However, **May** teaches that the multifunction display 10 includes a plurality of display regions that are selectively activated by bezel soft keys 42-50 for displaying of selected flight modes to display in both graphic and text data information, see Figs. 1-8, col. 2,

Application/Control Number: 10/086,996 Page 7

Art Unit: 2636

lines 52-61, col. 3, lines 44-56, col. 6, lines 48-63, col. 8, lines 66, 67, col. 9, line 1, col. 11, lines 43-67, col. 16, lines 7-67 and col. 17, lines 1-67. Walker suggests that a text enhancement method and apparatus for improved human reading comprises a text display for displaying of selected tagged word. The tagged word displays a pop-up window containing the definition or drawing, for use as aircraft heads-up display, see col. 1, lines 18-20 and col. 9, lines 54-61. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions was made to substitute the pop-up window of Walker for the display screed of May and Steiner et al since the text messages are pop-up whenever it has been selected by a pilot, and to provide additional messages or helps to verify that message to the pilot, which prevents of miss reading of data information.

May and Steiner et al and further in view of Adams et al [US 6,314,343].

Regarding claim 8, May fails to disclose the sensors operable coupled to the controls and the display activation buttons, wherein the sensors are proximately located to rear sides of the bezel and the display. However, May teaches that the multifunction display 10 or 10' with a display screen 22 is for displaying a plurality of different flight mode selections and including weather condition data, StormScope information, topography, navigation and communication, see Figs. 4-8, col. 16, lines 7-67 and col. 17, lines 1-67.

Adam et al suggests that the visual display screen 4 with a plurality of display fields 1-16, 19 and 20 are adapted to display messages and selected data information to a pilot,

Art Unit: 2636

including the aircraft sensors 34, see Figs. 1-4, col. 1, lines 55-67, col. 2, lines 30-36, col. 4, lines 10-67 and col. 5, lines 1-43. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the aircraft sensors of **Adam et al** for weather, storm and navigation system of **May** and **Steiner et al** in order to sensing or detecting of weather conditions, storm status for displaying and alarming of the actual environment within the flight area.

Regarding claim 9, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** and Adams **et al** in respect to claim 8 above.

Regarding claim 10, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** and **Adams et al** in respect to claim 8 above, see Figs. 4-8.

Regarding claim 11, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** and **Adams et al** in respect to claims 2 and 8 above.

Regarding claim 12, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** and **Adams et al** in respect to claims 3 and 11 above.

Regarding claim 13, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** and **Adams et al** in respect to claim 8 above, see Figs. 4-8, col. 15, lines 14-20 and col. 16, lines 7-23.

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 14, all the claimed subject matters are discussed between **May** and **Steiner et al** and **Adams et al** in respect to claim 8 above, see Figs. 4-8.

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May and Steiner et al and Adams et al and further in view of Robinson et al [US 4,651,282]. Regarding claim 15, May fails to disclose the audio panel including one or more audio controls operable to adjust the quality and volume associated with audio data. However, May teaches that the avionic multifunction display 10 having a display screen 22 with a plurality of display regions for visually displaying one or more data and warning messages including weather condition data, StormScope information, topography, navigation, terrain and communication, see Figs. 4-8, col. 16, lines 7-67 and col. 17, lines 1-67. Robinson et al suggest that an airborne navigation and communication system includes a communication transceiver with a control 18 and displays 28 and 30. The communication system includes an audio squelch circuitry and the multifunction mode selection switch comprises a push/button switch 32 for selectively enabling and disabling the audio squelch circuitry. A potentiometer 313 is for adjusting the volume of a transmission being monitored through the receiver head-set, see Figs. 1, 2 and 4, col. 1, lines 61-67, col. 2, lines 1-11, col. 4, lines 50-63, col. 11, lines 39-63 and col. 15, lines 3-21. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the audio circuitry with adjustable volume of Robinson et al to the visual display of May and

Application/Control Number: 10/086,996 Page 10

Art Unit: 2636

Steiner et al and Adams et al because combination of both audio and visual data information for use in the aircraft cockpit is very well known in the art to give the pilot a full accessible of command and control the operation functions of the aircraft and of the flight situations, which increases the flight safety.

5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **May** and **Steiner et al** and further in view of **Briffe et al** [US 6,057,786].

Regarding claim 18, May fails to disclose the flight data are represented in a threedimensional format on the display. However, May teaches that the avionic multifunction display 10 having a two-dimensional display screen 22 see Figs. 1-8. Briffe et al suggests that an aircraft display and control system comprising a plurality of display devices 16, 18, 20, 22 and heads-up display 32 for displaying of flight information and geographic data. The system includes a plurality of soft key buttons 82a-82f and labels and/or trackballs 44 and 48. The heads-up display 32 receives indicator signals and using the indicator signals to generate a conformal indicator image superimposed on a pilot's view through the cockpit windshield, including selection of a weather radar image to be superimposed/overlaid on the situation display, see Fig. 1-3 and 5, col. 2, lines 62-67, col. 3, lines 1-3, col. 6, lines 50-67 and col. 9, lines 1-10. an aircraft display and control system comprising a plurality of display devices 16, 18, 20, 22 and heads-up display 32 for displaying of flight information and geographic data in a three-dimensional image of the next waypoint in the flight plan, see Figs. 1-3, col. 2, lines 62-67, col. 3, lines 1-3 and col. 10, lines 32-41. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2636

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the heads-up display of **Briffe et al** for the display screen of **May** and **Steiner et al** in order to provide three-dimensional format which gives the pilot comfortable and easily identify of the

Page 11

data information of the flight.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Sample discloses a navcomm device for an aircraft including soft labels and keys for

indicating operation functions and positions of the aircraft. [US 6,473,675]

Meinzer et al discloses a medical display device allows user to select a label soft key,

and the labels will be changed/modified. [US 5,782,805]

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

examiner should be directed to primary examiner Van Trieu whose telephone number

is (703) 308-5220. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7:00 AM to

4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (703) 305-4717.

The office facsimile number is (703)/872-9314.

Van Trieu

Primary Examiner

Date: 3/4/04